

Direct Mapping Relational Databases to RDFS/OWL and RDF

Juan F. Sequeda

Daniel P. Miranker

University of Texas at Austin

Direct Mapping

- Produce automatically a (putative) ontology from the relational schema
- Produce RDF as instance of the (putative) ontology
- Rules in datalog. Well defined semantics
- Can translate datalog to SQL or RIF

Relational Database Predicates

- $\text{Rel}(r) = r$ is a relation; e.g. $\text{Rel}(\text{PERSON})$ holds, $\text{Rel}(\text{ID})$ does not
- $\text{Attr}(x,r) = x$ is an attribute in relation r ; e.g. $\text{Attr}(\text{ID},\text{PERSON})$ holds
- $\text{NN}(x,r) = x$ is an attribute (or a set of attributes) in relation r with NOT NULL constraint(s); e.g. $\text{NN}(\text{NAME},\text{PERSON})$ holds
- $\text{Unq}(x,r) = x$ is an attribute (or a set of attributes) in relation r with UNIQUE constraint; e.g. $\text{Unq}(\{\text{NAME}\},\text{DEPT})$ holds
- $\text{Chk}(x,r) = x$ is an attribute in relation r with enumerated list (CHECK IN) constraint; e.g. $\text{Chk}(\text{SESSION},\text{SEMESTER})$ holds
- $\text{PK}(x,r) = x$ is the (single or composite) primary key of relation r ; e.g. $\text{PK}(\{\text{ONO},\text{RNO}\},\text{STUDY})$ holds; Also: $\text{PK}(x,r) \sqsubseteq \text{Unq}(x,r) \sqsubseteq \text{NN}(x,r)$
- $\text{FK}(x,r,y,s) = x$ is a (single or composite) foreign key in relation r and references y in relation s ; e.g. $\text{FK}(\{\text{ID}\},\text{STUDENT},\{\text{ID}\},\text{PERSON})$ holds
- $\text{NonFK}(x,r) = x$ is an attribute in relation r that does not participate in any foreign key; e.g. $\text{NonFK}(\text{NAME},\text{DEPT})$ holds

Ontology (RDFS/OWL) Predicates

- $\text{Class}(m)$ = m is a class
- $\text{ObjP}(p,d,r)$ = p is an object property with domain d and range r
- $\text{DTP}(p,d,r)$ = p is an data type property with domain d and range r
- $\text{Inv}(p,q)$ = when p and q are object properties, p is an inverse of q
- $\text{FP}(p)$ = p is a functional property
- $\text{IFP}(p)$ = p is an inverse functional property
- $\text{Crd}(p,m,v)$ = the (max and min) cardinality of property p for class m is v
- $\text{MinC}(p,m,v)$ = the min cardinality of property p for class m is v
- $\text{MaxC}(p,m,v)$ = the max cardinality of property p for class m is v
- $\text{Subclass}(m,n)$ = m is a subclass of class n

Ontology Functions

- $fkey(x,r,s)$ = takes a set of attributes x , relations r and s , and returns the foreign key defined on attributes x in r referencing s
- $type(x)$ = maps an attribute x to its suitable OWL recommended data type
- $list(x)$ = maps an attribute x to a list of allowed values; applicable only to attributes with a CHECK IN constraint, i.e. $chk(x)$ is true

Direct Mapping RDB to RDFS/OWL

Rule 1

- $\text{BinRel}(r,s,t) \leftarrow \text{Rel}(r), \text{FK}(q,r,_,t), \text{FK}(p,r,_,s),$
 $p \neq q, \neg E(r), \text{FK}(z,r,_,u),$
 $\text{fkey}(z,r,u) \text{ is member of } \{\text{fkey}(p,r,s), \text{fkey}(q,r,t)\}$
- $E(r) \leftarrow \text{Attr}(y,r), \text{NonFK}(y,r)$

Rule 2

- $\text{Class}(r) \leftarrow \text{Rel}(r), \neg \text{BinRel}(r,_,_)$

Rule 3

- $\text{ObjP}(r,s,t) \leftarrow \text{BinRel}(r,s,t), \text{Rel}(s), \text{Rel}(t), \neg \text{BinRel}(s,_,_), \neg \text{BinRel}(t,_,_)$

Direct Mapping RDB to RDFS/OWL

Rule 4

- $\text{NonBinFK}(x,s,y,t) \leftarrow \text{FK}(x,s,y,t), \text{Rel}(s), \text{Rel}(t), \text{-BinRel}(s, _, _), \text{-BinRel}(t, _, _)$
- $\text{ObjP}(x,s,t), \text{FP}(x), \text{MinC}(x',t,0) \leftarrow \text{NonBinFK}(x,s,y,t), \text{-NN}(x), \text{-Unq}(x)$
- $\text{ObP}(x,s,t), \text{FP}(x), \text{Crd}(x,s,1), \text{MinC}(x',t,0) \leftarrow \text{NonBinFK}(x,s,y,t), \text{NN}(x), \text{-Unq}(x)$
- $\text{ObjP}(x,s,t), \text{FP}(x), \text{FP}(x') \leftarrow \text{NonBinFK}(x,s,y,t), \text{-NN}(x), \text{Unq}(x)$
- $\text{ObjP}(x,s,t), \text{FP}(x), \text{Crd}(x,s,1), \text{FP}(x') \leftarrow \text{NonBinFK}(x,s,t), \text{NN}(x), \text{Unq}(x), \text{-PK}(x,s)$

Direct Mapping RDB to RDFS/OWL

Rule 5

- $DTP(x,r,type(x)), FP(x) \leftarrow NonFK(x,r)$
- $DTP(x,r,type(x)), FP(x), Crd(x,r,1) \leftarrow NonFK(x,r), NN(x,r)$
- $DTP(x,r,type(x) \text{ INTERSECTION } list(x)), FP(x) \leftarrow NonFK(x,r), Chk(x,r)$

Rule 6

- $Subclass(r,s) \leftarrow Rel(r), Rel(s), PK(x,r), FK(x,r,_,s)$

Direct Mapping RDB to RDF

- If we don't care of the ontology
 - Student(id, name, age)
 - Triple("student"+id,"name",name) <- student(id, name,_)
 - Triple("student"+id,"age",age) <- student(id, _, age)
- However age is a Datatype property with Domain "student" and Range "string"
- There is always an ontology (it's implicit)

Direct Mapping RDB to RDF

- Given the ontology we can create instances of the ontology \rightarrow RDF
- In addition to the RDB to RDFS/OWL rules, add new rules to produce the RDF
- Triple(s,p,o) \leftarrow ...

Conclusion

- Datalog as the mapping language has well-defined semantics
- Adding some extra rules you can get RDF
- Adding some extra rules you can represent the knowledge of an expert